#### INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE

ON

#### SMALL HOLDERS' GOAT PRODUCTION IN TROPICAL COUNTRIES - OPPORTUNITIES AND CONSTRAINTS

# EFFECT OF SUPPLEMENTATION OF HERBAL EXTRACTS ON METHANOGENESIS IN RUMINANTS

C Valli\*, M Ramachandran and V Balakrishnan
Department of Animal Nutrition, Madras Veterinary College
Vepery, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India
\*Presenting author: valli@gmail.com

# **ABSTRACT**

#### Introduction

Methanogenesis from ruminants is one of the major causes of global warming and methanogenesis reduces the efficiency of nutrient utilization hence manipulation of the rumen microbial ecosystem for reducing methane emission is very vital.

# **Objective**

A study was designed, to evaluate the anti-methanogenic activity of herbs / herbal extracts in ruminants. The herbs tested were *Acacia concinna* pods, *Emblica officinalis* seeds, *Allium sativum* bulbs, *Zingiber officinale* rhizomes, *Ferula assafoetida* resin, *Psidium guajava* leaves, *Terminalia chebula* seeds and *Azadirachta indica* seed kernels.

#### **Materials and Methods**

*In vitro* gas production studies were carried out using Hohenheim in vitro gas production test to rank the herbal extracts/residues according to their capacity to lower the percent methane production.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Acacia concinna pods methanol extract ranked one (13.3 %) followed by Acacia concinna pods methanol residue (13.34 %), Allium sativum bulbs water residue (15 %), Zingiber officinale rhizomes water residue (15.02 %) and Psidium guajava leaves methanol residue (15.1 %). In a second in vitro trial the first five ranked treatments were studied at graded inclusion levels of 30, 40, 50, 60 and 70 mg per 500 mg substrate. Acacia concinna pods methanol extract, Acacia concinna pods methanol residue, Allium sativum bulbs water residue, Zingiber officinale rhizome water residue and Psidium guajava leaves methanol residue inclusion at 50, 30, 30, 50 and 50 mg respectively exhibited maximum inhibition of methanogenesis. These selected herbs in their selected levels were validated through an in vitro rumen fermentation experiment (RUSITEC) using complete feed having roughage concentrate ratio of 60: 40. All the selected herbal extracts/residues studied in this experiment significantly (P<0.05) lowered methane production. Maximum reduction was brought about by Acacia concinna pods methanol residue. The percent in vitro dry matter degradability (IVDMD) remained unaltered.

## **Conclusion**

This study therefore establishes the scope of use of plant extracts in ruminant rations to reduce methane production without adversely affecting IVDMD.

## **Keywords**

Antimethanogenic activity, Hohenheim in vitro gas production test, IVDMD, plant extracts, rumen fermentation, RUSITEC

#### References

- Beauchemin, K. A., & McGinn, S. M. (2006). Methane emissions from beef cattle: Effects of fumaric acid, essential oil, and canola oil. *Journal of animal science*, 84(6), 1489-1496.
- Blümmel, M., & Becker, K. (1997). The degradability characteristics of fifty-four roughages and roughage neutral-detergent fibres as described by in vitro gas production and their relationship to voluntary feed intake. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 77(5), 757-768.
- Busquet, M., Calsamiglia, S., Ferret, A., & Kamel, C. (2006). Plant extracts affect in vitro rumen microbial fermentation. *Journal of dairy science*, 89(2), 761-771.
- Kamra, D. N., Neeta Agarwal, N. A., & Yadav, M. P. (2004). Methanogenesis in the rumen and the greenhouse effect on the environment.
- Menke, K. H. and Steingass, H (1988). Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis and in vitro gas production using rumen fluid, Animal Research and Development 28: 7–55.

#### Illustration

*In vitro* rumen fermentation characters (24 hours incubation) on supplementation of selected herbal extracts/residues at selected levels in dairy cattle complete feed using RUSITEC. (Mean\* ± SE)

Herb/extract/ residue	Inclusio n level, mg	Rumen fermentation characters					
		рН	Total gas, ml	Methan e, ml	Carbon dioxide, ml	Methan e, %	IVDM D, % NS
Acacia concinna pods methanol extract	50	6.84 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	1205 ± 4.47°	214 ± 1.24 <sup>cd</sup>	991 ± 3.23°	17.5 ± 1.12 <sup>ab</sup>	46.5 ± 1.42
Acacia concinna pods methanol residue	30	$6.85 \pm 0.02^{b}$	792 ± 4.43 <sup>ab</sup>	129 ± 2.29 <sup>a</sup>	663 ± 2.14 <sup>ab</sup>	16.7 ± 1.05 <sup>a</sup>	50.6 ± 2.13
Psidium guajava leaves methanol residue	50	6.83 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	1020 ± 4.48 <sup>bc</sup>	191 ± 1.64 <sup>bcd</sup>	829 ± 2.84 <sup>bc</sup>	18.7 ± 0.56 <sup>ab</sup>	49.8 ± 2.54
Control (substrate alone)	0	6.83 ± 0.02 <sup>b</sup>	760 ± 2.02 <sup>ab</sup>	224 ± 0.97 <sup>d</sup>	536 ± 1.05 <sup>a</sup>	29.2 ± 0.83°	48.7 ± 2.72